471. Mr. C. A. Ouseph.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of officers, if any, to whom increments of salary, over and above what they were entitled to draw in the ordinary course, have been allowed since 2nd Medam 1100, without written requests for same from the officers concerned;

(b) and the reasons for allowing the increment in each case?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—(a) & (b). A statement containing the information is appended. (*Vide Appendix IV.*)

472. Mr. C. A. Ouseph.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the names of officers whose salaries have been recommended by the Head of Department concerned to be increased over and above what they were entitled to draw in the ordinary course;

(b) the orders passed by the Government in each case in (a) of the previous question?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—No satisfactory answer can be given to the question as it is indefinite and as no mention is made of the period for which the information is asked for.

Mr. C. A. Ouseph.—I have to raise a similar complaint as that of yesterday. If my question is not definite, they can send it back to me. If these two are read together, you can find that the period referred to is 2nd Medam 1100. If it is indefinite, it could have been sent back to me. Now they say that it is indefinite and that, therefore, they cannot answer it.

President.—Yes.

Contribution to the Cheruvirutti Ayurvedic Hospital.

473. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—In the letter and the Proceedings referred to in Appendix VII A & B to answer to question No. 138 of the legislative session of 1102, there is no time-limit fixed for the contribution of Rs. 30,000 referred to in para 4 of the Diwan's Proceedings.

Will the Government be pleased to state why it is that the Government did not contribute Rs. 2,379-1-3?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—The Member is referred to the answer to question No. 138, sub-division (d) of the legislative session of 1102.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—My question remains unanswered. I refer to the answer given to question No. 138 in the last legislative session. There the answer is "The Samajam was told that the annual contribution promised in the letterjdated 21st October 1914 was not for all times." My question is "In the letter and the Proceedings, referred to in Appendix VIII A and B to answer to question No. 138 of the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly